

From a thriving past to an uncertain future: Zooarchaeological evidence of two millennia of human impact on a large emblematic lizard (Iguana delicatissima) on the Guadeloupe Islands (French West Indies)

C. Bochaton, S. Bailon, I. Ineich, M. Breuil, A. Tresset, S. Grouard

▶ To cite this version:

C. Bochaton, S. Bailon, I. Ineich, M. Breuil, A. Tresset, et al.. From a thriving past to an uncertain future: Zooarchaeological evidence of two millennia of human impact on a large emblematic lizard (Iguana delicatissima) on the Guadeloupe Islands (French West Indies). Quaternary Science Reviews, Elsevier, 2016, 150, pp.172 - 183. 10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.08.017 . hal-01503157

HAL Id: hal-01503157 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01503157

Submitted on 6 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title: From a thriving past to an uncertain future: zooarchaeological evidence of two millennia of				
2	human impact on a large emblematic lizard (Iguana delicatissima) on the Guadeloupe Islands				
3	(French West Indies)				
4	Running title: Past Iguana from the Guadeloupe Islands				
5	C. Bochaton ^{1, 2} , S. Bailon ¹ , I. Ineich ² , M. Breuil ² , A. Tresset ¹ and S. Grouard ¹				
6	¹ Laboratoire "Archéozoologie et Archéobotanique: Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements"				
7	UMR 7209 - CNRS, MNHN - Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle - Sorbonne Universités 55				
8	rue Buffon, CP 56, 75005 Paris, France				
9	² Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité ISYEB – UMR 7205 – CNRS, MNHN,				
10	UPMC, EPHE-Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle - Sorbonne Universités 57 rue Cuvier, CP				
11	30, 75005, Paris, France				
12	Corresponding author: Corentin Bochaton Tel. +33(0)1.71.21.47.08, corentin.bochaton@mnhn.fr				
13	Keywords: Archaeology, Consumption, Extinction crisis, Morphological drift, Quaternary				
14	paleontology, Zooarchaeology				
15	Type of paper: Primary Research Article				
16					
17	Abstract				
18	Among the lizards in the Lesser Antillean Islands, iguanas are undoubtedly the most emblematic,				

19 especially the endemic species, *Iguana delicatissima*. However, although much effort is currently

20 made for the conservation of this species as a result of the present biodiversity crisis, nearly

nothing is known of the history of this animal on these islands during the last millennia. Here we 21 22 present the first data relating to the distribution, morphology, and interaction of past iguanas with human populations in the Lesser Antilles. To do so, we review the archaeological Iguana remains 23 collected over the past 15 years on the Guadeloupe Islands. Our results show that the only *Iguana* 24 25 species occurring in pre-Columbian archaeological deposits is *Iguana delicatissima*. Moreover, 26 we demonstrate that this species occurred on all the islands of Guadeloupe during pre-Columbian times and then suddenly became extinct between 1960 and 1990 on most of these islands. We 27 also confirm the modern introduction of I. iguana to the Guadeloupe Islands. In addition, 28 zooarchaeological research demonstrates that pre-Columbian human populations occasionally 29 30 used iguanas as a source of food, but with no apparent impact on the native population. However, the first data relating to past size variations of *I. delicatissima* on the Guadeloupe Islands indicate 31 that archaeological iguanas were much larger than the largest remnant modern specimens and that 32 a marked decrease in body length (more than 20%) occurred in these lizards after contact with 33 European populations. This evidence of widespread extinction and morphological change during 34 modern times is another demonstration of the extensive effects of disturbance and selection 35 induced by modern human societies on endemic insular faunas. 36

37 1. Introduction

The fauna of the Lesser Antilles Islands is rich in lizards and snakes with a high degree of endemism (Henderson and Powell, 2009; Henderson and Breuil, 2012). However, due to the small size and ecological sensitivity of these islands, squamates are, like many other vertebrate taxa, strongly impacted by the current worldwide biodiversity crisis (Barnosky et al., 2011). The islands of Guadeloupe are no exception to this, and both historical (Breuil, 2002; Breuil et al., 2011, 2010) and fossil data (Bailon et al., 2015; Bochaton et al., 2016a, 2015; Boudadi-Maligne et al., 2016; Grouard, 2001; Stouvenot et al., 2014) show that most of the past endemic squamates
of these islands have become extinct, mainly during the recent past.

46 The most emblematic of the surviving reptiles is probably the genus Iguana Laurenti, 1768, represented by the green iguana (Iguana iguana [Linnaeus, 1758]), and the Lesser Antillean 47 iguana (Iguana delicatissima Laurenti, 1768) (Breuil, 2002; Henderson and Breuil, 2012). These 48 two species diverged around 22.8 Mya ago (Hedges et al., 2015) and are both present in the 49 Lesser Antilles. However, they seem to have undergone different local evolutionary histories, 50 although they can still breed together and produce fertile offspring (Vuillaume et al., 2015). I. 51 iguana has a very broad natural distribution area in continental Central and South America 52 (Stephen et al., 2013) and was recently (accidentally or deliberately) introduced far from its 53 54 natural distribution area to many places in the world, such as Israel, Hawaii, Florida, the Greater Antilles, and the Lesser Antilles, probably including Guadeloupe (Breuil, 2013; Falcón et al., 55 2013; Henderson and Breuil, 2012; Krauss et al., 2014; Pasachnick et al., 2012). Endemic 56 57 populations also seem to occur on some of the Lesser Antillean islands, such as Saba, Montserrat, and Saint Lucia (Breuil, 2013; Malone and Davis, 2004; Morton, 2009; Stephen et al., 2013). 58 Conversely, *I. delicatissima* is endemic to the Lesser Antilles. It is now considered to be an 59 endangered species on the most recent IUCN red list (Breuil et al., 2010), as it is now extinct on 60 most of the islands in Guadeloupe (Breuil, 2002; Henderson and Breuil, 2012), and is currently 61 the focus of an international plan of action (Knapp et al., 2014). Recent genetic data show little 62 divergence between the different Lesser Antillean populations and suggest that this animal may 63 have spread to the islands recently, possibly as a result of human activity (Martin et al., 2015; 64 65 Vuillaume et al., 2015). This hypothesis is congruent with the paleontological results obtained from Pleistocene and Holocene fossil data from the island of Marie-Galante, which show that no 66

Iguana osteological remains occur in layers preceding the arrival of human populations(Bochaton et al., 2015).

69 Both of these Iguana species can hybridize when syntopic, which probably played a major role in the extinction of *I. delicatissima* in Guadeloupe, except for the islands of La Désirade and Îlets de 70 Petite Terre, where there are no I. iguana (Breuil, 2002). However, although this genus is absent 71 72 from the very sparse Pleistocene and early Holocene fossil record in Guadeloupe, historical 73 (Breuil, 2002; Du Tertre, 1667) and especially archaeological (Grouard, 2010, 2007, 2001) sources provide evidence of the past occurrence of the genus Iguana on these islands. The latter 74 75 sources show that the genus *Iguana* sp. has been present on all the islands of Guadeloupe since at least 1500 BC and was hunted by pre-Columbian human populations more than 2,000 years 76 77 before the arrival of Europeans. On account of a combination of extensive data concerning the 78 modern representative of this genus and archaeological data, the iguana is an excellent model for 79 studying the temporal evolution of human impact on insular large lizard populations.

Up until recently, few data concerning archaeological iguanas from the Guadeloupe Islands had 80 81 been recorded. Zooarchaeological studies have, in the Guadeloupe Islands and in other Caribbean 82 islands, mainly focused on the extensive biodiversity of other taxa, especially aquatic animals, which largely compose the meat diet of most of past human populations rather than taxa, like 83 iguanas, that remain scarce in zooarchaeological assemblages (Giovas, 2016; Grouard, 2010; 84 85 Keegan et al., 2008; Wing, 2001). In addition, the paucity of research on iguanas, and more 86 generally archaeological lizard remains, has further complicated these studies. As a consequence, 87 the few studies to date of iguanas from archaeological contexts focused on the taxonomic identification of osteological remains (Martin, 2009; Martin et al., 2010). These studies showed 88 89 that two iguana species (I. iguana and I. delicatissima) occurred on the Guadeloupe Islands

prehistorically (Martin, 2009; Martin et al., 2010); a finding that contradicts the notion that I. 90 91 iguana would be a recently introduced species. An early occurrence of *I. iguana* was also advanced by Lazell (1973), who stated that "no human introduction is responsible for the 92 93 presence of *Iguana iguana* anywhere in the Lesser Antilles". However, the conclusions proposed 94 by Martin (2009) and Martin et al. (2010) based on archaeological specimens are questionable, since a recent study conducted by Bochaton et al. (2016b), focusing on the osteological 95 differences between the two Iguana species, has shown that most of the osteological 96 characteristics used up until now are unreliable. Consequently, a review of the archaeological 97 material using new reliable identification criteria was necessary in order to analyze variations in 98 99 the taxonomic composition of Iguana in archaeological assemblages from the Guadeloupe Islands throughout time. In addition, the reconstruction of the past snout-vent length (SVL) of 100 101 archaeological *Iguana* (Bochaton, 2016) allows for size comparisons with modern populations.

The aim of this paper is to provide a new analysis of osteological Iguana remains from 25 102 103 Guadeloupian archaeological sites using new methodological tools developed for the identification (Bochaton et al., 2016b) and zooarchaeological study (Bochaton, 2016) of 104 archaeological *Iguana* remains. These newly obtained zooarchaeological data are then compared 105 106 with data collected from modern Iguana on the Guadeloupe Islands, as well as textual historical data. Finally we use the results to provide evidence of the occurrence of Iguana species and 107 morphological changes through time, and describe interactions between past humans and iguanas 108 109 on the Guadeloupe Islands.

110 2. Regional setting

The Guadeloupe Islands are situated in the northern Lesser Antilles between Dominica and 111 112 Montserrat. The archipelago is composed principally of two large islands, Basse Terre (848.1 km²) and Grande-Terre (585.7 km²), separated by a narrow marine channel. Other smaller islands 113 are now clearly separated from the two main islands: La Désirade (21.1 km²) and Îlets de Petite 114 115 Terre (1.5 km²), Marie-Galante (157.5 km²), and Les Saintes (14.2 km²) (Fig. 1), each with their own geological history. Some of the Guadeloupian islands (Îlets de Petite Terre, Marie-Galante, 116 La Désirade and Grande-Terre) are flat limestone islands, which emerged during the late 117 Calabrian around 800 Ky ago, although La Désirade developed much earlier during the 118 Piacenzian/Gelasian transition at around 2.7 Ma (Bouysse and Guennoc, 1982; Münch et al., 119 120 2013). The vegetation of these islands is mainly composed of xerophytic forests and is poorly diversified (Lasserre, 1961). The other islands, Basse Terre and Les Saintes, are volcanic islands 121 which formed between 2.79 and 0.435 My and 4.7 and 0.6 My, respectively (Andreieff et al., 122 123 1979; Jacques and Maury, 1988; Samper et al., 2007). Basse Terre is mountainous with many peaks above 1,000 m and a culminating point at 1,467 m (la Soufrière). Pluviometry is higher 124 there than on the other islands and the vegetation is far more diversified with xerophilic, 125 126 mesophilic, and hydrophilic forests (Lasserre, 1961; Sastre and Breuil, 2007). The morphology and the size of the Guadeloupe Islands varied markedly in relation to sea level fluctuations and 127 the islands of Basse Terre, Grande-Terre, Petite Terre and la Désirade formed a single island 128 during the Late Pleistocene. However, during the chronological period covered by our study 129 (mainly the last two millennia), the morphology of the archipelago was very similar to its current 130 131 configuration.

As regards past human settlement, the oldest archaeological site of these islands is the site of
Morel Zero (Paulet-Locard and Stouvenot, 2005), radiocarbon dated to around 1500 cal. BC

(Mesoindian or Archaic Age), but indirect evidence of human occupation in the form of increased
charcoal particles, presumably from human-induced fires, suggests that settlement could have
been earlier, ca. 3000 cal. BC. (Siegel et al., 2015; Stouvenot et al., 2014). Morel I on GrandeTerre is the oldest ceramic archaeological site in Guadeloupe Islands dating to around AD 80 and
attributed to the Huecan Saladoid culture (Neo-Indian or Early Ceramic Age) (Bérard, 2013;
Clerc, 1964; Fitzpatrick, 2015; Hofman et al., 1999; Romon et al., 2006).

This early Saladoid component includes Cedrosan Saladoid ceramic traditions, which occurred between AD 300-900 and evolved into the Marmoran Troumassoid tradition that lasted from AD 900-1500 (i.e., the Late Ceramic Age) (Bonnissent, 2008; Honoré, 2014; Keegan et al., 2013). After Columbus' second trip in 1493 and a period of contact between Amerindian and European populations archaeologically characterized by Cayo complex ceramics, French colonization began around 1635 and led to the disappearance of Amerindian populations (Keegan et al., 2013; Saunders, 2005).

147 3. Material and Methods

148 3.1 Zooarchaeological material

The osteological material included in this study comes from 25 pre-Columbian archaeological sites situated on Grande-Terre (5), Basse Terre (7), Les Saintes (1), Îlets de Petite Terre (4), La Désirade (3) and Marie-Galante (5) (Fig. 1; Supplementary material S1; Tab. 1). The chronological or cultural attribution of these sites is reported in Supplementary material S1 and Tab. 1. Most of the sites with the *Iguana* remains studied here had already been investigated by zooarchaeologists who studied the whole bone assemblages recovered in these archaeological deposits (de Waal, 2006; Grouard, 2010, 2007, 2001). For this study, the complete assemblage of 156 skeletal remains collected from each site was observed in order to review previously identified 157 *Iguana* remains and, when possible, to add newly identified remains. In total, 2,236 158 archaeological *Iguana* remains were collected from the different assemblages and investigated as 159 part of this study.

160 3.2 Methodology

161 Specific identifications followed the osteological characters described by Bochaton et al. (2016b). The latter study is based on a large sample of modern Iguana (69 skeletons) and proposes a series 162 163 of 32 identification criteria distributed among 17 different skeletal (both cranial and postcranial) 164 elements allowing for the distinction of I. delicatissima, I. iguana, and for some characters, hybrids of the two species. The authors also provided a percentage of reliability for each criterion 165 in order to allow for the discussion of the results. In the present study, archaeological bones that 166 167 did not present discriminating characters according to Bochaton et al. (2016b) were left specifically unidentified as Iguana sp. 168

169 The Snout-Vent Length (SVL) estimations of archaeological specimens were obtained using the 170 measurements and predictive equations described by Bochaton (2016) on the different anatomical 171 elements. Skeletal maturity was estimated on the basis of the fully or partially ossified status of 172 each bone and the maturity stages also described by Bochaton (2016). Skeletal maturity and size data were then combined to obtain a "Minimal Theoretical Maximal Size" or MTMS, used to 173 estimate the size that the archaeological specimen would have reached if it had lived until the end 174 175 of its growth. This MTMS is estimated by considering that the largest immature long bone 176 provides an SVL estimation of a maximum of 80% of the maximal size of the specimen (Bochaton, 2016; Maisano, 2002). Data concerning the size of the modern Guadeloupian I. 177

delicatissima were collected by the French Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage
(ONCFS) on La Désirade in 2012 (funded by the French state and FEDER) and by the NGO Le
Gaiac association on Îlets de Petite Terre (Terre-de-Haut and Terre-de-Bas) between 2007 and
2011, and were made available to us for study.

The minimum number of individuals (MNI) was calculated using the most abundant anatomical element for each site (Shipman, 1981). The global MNI is an addition of the MNI obtained from the different sites considering that it is highly unlikely that a specimen would be divided among different deposits. To study the anatomical distribution of bone remains we used the "Proportional Representation of each skeletal part" (PR) (Dodson and Wexlar, 1979).

Statistical analyses were performed using the free software "R" (version 3.1, https://cran.rproject.org/) and "R Studio" (version 0.98.1102). Data normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. As data were not normally distributed, comparisons between two populations were performed using the Wilcoxon test and comparisons between more than two populations using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant for P value <0.01.

Finally, in order to observe traces hypothetically left by humans on *Iguana* bones, all the remains
were carefully observed using a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ1000). In addition, Scanning
Electron Microscope (SEM) photographs of digested bones were taken.

196

197 4. Results

198 4.1 Specific identification (Figure 2; Tab. 1)

A total of 2,236 Iguana remains (MNI=80) were collected from the different archaeological sites 199 200 studied here. Among these remains, 1,826 (81.7%) did not present any of the anatomical 201 structures taken into account by Bochaton et al. (2016b) and thus could not be identified at the 202 species level. The other 410 remains were identified at the species level. They nearly all (407 203 remains-18.2%) presented the following *I. delicatissima* characteristics that differ from *I. iguana* 204 (see Bochaton et al., 2016b): On the maxilla, the infraorbital foramen is more anteriorly located on the supradental shelf than on *I. iguana* and can reach the transversal crest, and the exonarinal 205 margin of the facial process forms an obtuse angle with the premaxillary process in lateral view; 206 On the frontal bone (Fig. 2), the smallest width of the interorbital constriction is superior to 50% 207 of the maximal lateral length of the bone, the posterolateral processes are oriented 208 posterolaterally and articular facets with the parietal are oriented dorsally and are clearly visible 209 in dorsal view, and the bone presents a posterior braincase depression in ventral view; On the 210 211 parietal bone (Fig. 2), the pineal foramen is not well delimited on the frontal margin of the bone and articular facets with the frontal bone are oriented ventrally and highly visible in ventral view; 212 On the postorbital bone, the postorbital articular facet with the jugal is medially directed and 213 214 more visible in medial view than in *I. iguana*, and the articular facets with the jugal and squamosal form two individualized facets; On the jugal bone, the dorsal view of the jugal 215 suborbital ramus bears a well-developed anteromedial expansion between the palatine and 216 ectopterygoid insertion regions; On the pterygoid bone, only one weakly posteriorly extended 217 dental row occurs, and the lateral area of the bone is flat; On the sphenoid bone, the sphenoid 218 219 ventral crests joining the basal tubercle to the basipterygoid is sigmoid in ventral view; On the dentary, the intramandibular lamella is short and expands below the first to second dental 220 positions; On the coronoid bone, the coronoid process is higher and more dorsally rounded in 221 lateral view than in *I. iguana*, this process may also present a small posterior protuberance; On 222

the articular bone, the length of the retroarticular process is inferior to 150% of the length of the 223 224 articular fossa and the tympanic crest is wider than the angular crest; On the surangular bone (Fig. 2), the surangular coronoid process is pointed; On the axis vertebra, the ratio between the 225 minimal neural arch length and the posterior neural arch breadth comprising the 226 227 postzygapophysis is inferior to 0.65; All the caudal vertebrae lack caudal autotomy planes; On 228 the scapulocoracoid bone, the small posterolateral protuberance marking the attachment of the scapulohumeral ligament is absent; On the pelvic bone, the ilium dorsal crest is convex and bears 229 a short and curved ventral edge, the posterior section of the ilium is subtriangular, the anterior 230 section of the pubis forms a triangle with an acute apex angle, and the posterior margin of 231 ischium is more concave than in I. iguana; On the humerus (Fig. 2), the foramen of the 232 supracondylar fossa is more open than in *I. iguana*. 233

However, three remains (0.1%) presented the following *Iguana iguana* characteristics: an infraorbital foramen posteriorly located on a maxilla, and an exonarinal margin of the facial process forming a right angle with the premaxillary process in lateral view on the same bone; an intramandibular lamella anteriorly extended under the four last dental positions on a dentary, and a narrow foramen of the supracondylar fossa on a humerus.

4.2 Body size characteristics of *Iguana* archaeological populations

Past Snout-Vent Length (SVL) and skeletal maturity were observed on all the studied *Iguana*remains. Past Snout-Vent Length (SVL) estimations were obtained from 488 *Iguana* remains
(MNI=48) from all skeletal parts for which size predictive equations were defined by Bochaton
(2016). These remains come from all of the archaeological sites included in this study and a list
of all the obtained estimations can be found in Supplementary material (S2).

The estimated size ranged from around 70 mm SVL for small newborn specimens to very large individuals with SVL of more than 500 mm (Fig. 3, Supplementary material S2). However, all size classes were not equally distributed in our assemblage. Specimens smaller than 220 mm SVL were nearly absent and most fossil *Iguana* had a SVL between 300 and 400 mm. Specimens smaller than 220 mm SVL (between 70 and 150 mm SVL) only occur in Morne-Rita on Marie-Galante Island (NMI=1), Cathédrale de Basse-Terre on Basse Terre Island (NMI=1) and Anse à la Gourde on Grande-Terre Island (NMI=2) (Fig. 3, Supplementary material S2).

Skeletal maturity data (bone ossification) were recorded on 223 archaeological *Iguana* remains. Among them, 65 (29%) were mature bones of which 35 belong to fully-grown specimens (with fully ossified long bones and/or fused sacral vertebrae = stage 4 *sensu* Bochaton, 2016). The other 158 remains (71%) correspond to non-fully grown individuals and at least 13 of them belong to non-sexually mature specimens (for which the pelvic girdle and axis are not fully ossified = stage 2 *sensu* Bochaton, 2016).

Moreover, 114 remains yield a combination of both size and skeletal maturity data and thus allow 258 259 for the estimation of the MTMS and the size range of the previously defined maturity stages. The detailed observation of archaeological Iguana sizes was performed at the island scale because the 260 material was too scant to obtain significant results for each site. In addition, we noted that 261 archaeological specimens collected from the different Guadeloupe islands present SVL 262 263 differences (Kruskal-Wallis test, p.val<0.01) (Fig. 3). Size overlap often occurred between the defined maturity stages. This could reflect a size estimation error linked to measurement error, 264 265 variability in bone maturation timing or could also be the result of sexual size dimorphism.

On Marie-Galante, the Minimal Theoretical Maximal Size (MTMS) of archaeological Iguana 266 267 was 475 mm, and the estimated SVL mean was 324 mm (Fig. 3-A). A size overlap of a maximum of 40 mm was observed between the maturity stages. The results were similar for the sites from 268 269 Îlets de Petite Terre (Fig. 3-B), La Désirade (Fig. 3-C), and Grande-Terre (Fig. 3-D): MTMS are 270 respectively 462, 460 and 475 mm with mean estimated SVL of 330, 358 and 310 mm respectively. On Îlets de Petite Terre and Grande-Terre, some limited size overlap (between 19 271 and 25 mm) was observed between maturity stages. A single archaeological SVL datum (361 272 273 mm) was collected from Les Saintes Island.

The situation was more complex for archaeological Iguana from Basse Terre Island, as two 274 275 groups of very different sizes seem to occur in the same archaeological contexts. Most of the 276 specimens are larger than any other observed specimens, with estimated sizes between 400-450 277 mm SVL for non-fully mature bones (MNI=1), reaching a maximum of 511 mm SVL for fully mature bones (MNI=2) (Fig 3-E). However, some specimens (MNI=2) present estimated sizes of 278 279 only 323 and 388 mm SVL for fully mature bones. This situation led to an important body size 280 overlap between maturity stages and made the definition of a single MTMS impossible. Consequently, we defined two MTMS on the basis of the smallest mature and largest immature 281 282 bones and obtained MTMS of respectively 403 mm and 556 mm SVL (Fig. 3-E). These two MTMS correspond to two populations presenting different body sizes. Taken as a whole, the 283 estimated SVL of Basse Terre archaeological iguanas (mean= 364 mm) were the highest for the 284 archipelago. 285

We did not observe any effects of chronological attribution (Saladoid or Troumassoid) on the size of the *Iguana* remains (Wilcoxon test, p.val=0.34). However, comparisons of SVL data collected from modern *I. delicatissima* populations from La Désirade (n= 274) and Îlets de Petite Terre

(Terre-de-Haut and Terre-de-Bas) (n=435) with all archaeological SVL data obtained from these 289 290 islands show that archaeological iguanas were far larger than modern specimens (Wilcoxon test, p.val <0.001). Indeed, modern iguanas from three islands do not exceed 390 mm SVL (max. 390 291 292 mm on Terre-de-Haut [see also Breuil, 2002], 385 mm on Terre-de-Bas and 360 mm on La 293 Désirade [387 mm following Schardt, 1998), whereas archaeological iguanas from the same islands can be larger than 450 mm SVL. The SVL mean of archaeological iguanas (330-358 mm) 294 was also higher than the SVL mean of modern iguanas (258-274 mm), but as the specimens 295 296 occurring in archaeological sites and measured in the field are probably subject to very different sampling methods, SVL means are nearly impossible to interpret. A very dry season in 2001 led 297 298 to high mortality among iguanas from La Désirade and Petite-Terre islands (Breuil, 2002) and could have contributed to the elimination of large specimens. However, archaeological iguanas 299 300 from these islands are still larger than any modern *I. delicatissima* ever measured in the Lesser 301 Antilles, and a reduction in size during the modern period is very likely.

4.3 Skeletal distribution, anthropic marks, and surface alterations of the archaeological remains

303 Due to the scarcity of *Iguana* remains in archaeological sites, we did not find clear site-scale 304 differences in the data presented in this section. Consequently, all the sites were treated as a 305 whole, but unusual observations encountered at single sites are also mentioned when pertinent.

The overall representation of skeletal parts was low (PR=12.5%), which means that on average only 12% of *Iguana* skeletons were present in the archaeological sites, but all the anatomical parts were represented. The most represented anatomical parts are the proximal part of the tail (PR=42), femur (PR=32), humerus (PR= 29), middle part of the tail (PR=28), pelvic girdle (PR=25), dorsal vertebrae (PR=24), and tibia (PR=22) (Fig. 4). These anatomical parts are the most important in terms of meat content, especially the proximal part of the tail, the humerus, and the femur. However, these bones are also the biggest and strongest elements, and are the most likely to be preserved and collected. All the other bones, although less represented, were also present in the assemblages (Fig. 4). These bones are smaller elements, sometimes fragile and subject to high breakage rates, and are thus more exposed to collection bias, especially considering that 80% of the studied bones were broken.

317 Traces of burning, cut marks and digestion marks were rare on the examined material and only occurred on 84 remains (3.7% of the total assemblage). Most of the observed traces (56) were 318 traces of fire, ranging from black burning marks (Stages 1 and 2 from Stiner and Kuhn 1995; 319 320 N=45) to white calcination marks (Stages 4 and 5 from Stiner and Kuhn 1995; N=11). These 321 marks indicate that bones presenting black marks were exposed to temperatures superior to 300 322 °C and those presenting white marks to 675 °C (Munro et al., 2008). These traces of fire occur 323 mostly on skeletal extremities: caudal vertebrae (N=21), the phalanx (N=8) and cranium (N=6)324 (Fig. 4, 5). Cut marks were also observed on 16 remains (Fig. 4, 5), but were difficult to observe because of bone alterations such as the occurrence of concretions or surface degradation. These 325 marks only occurred on long bones (N=5), the pelvic girdle (N=3) and vertebrae (N=8) (Fig. 4). 326 327 The most recurring location of cut marks was on mid-caudal vertebrae (N=6), where traces occurred all around the vertebrae and clearly reflected the removal of the distal part of the tail, 328 which is very long and poor in meat. Cut marks observed on this area were sometimes combined 329 with traces of fire (Fig. 5). Digestive marks were also observed on 12 remains, but only attributed 330 331 to small specimens with SVL of around 90 mm, at Anse à la Gourde and in cave deposits (Anse à 332 l'Ecu, Morne-Rita and Cadet 3). We considered some of these bones to be highly digested, as 333 shown by two dentaries (Fig. 6) displaying surface dissolution, enlargement of labial foramina (Fig. 6-A), and the destruction of most of their teeth. Such traces were probably left by humans,
carnivores, or diurnal raptors (Andrews, 1990; Stoetzel et al., 2012).

336 5. Discussion

337 5.1 Taxonomic identification of archaeological iguanas

Among the 410 archaeological remains identified to the species level, 407 were attributed to I. 338 delicatissima and only three to I. iguana. However, these I. iguana identifications are highly 339 340 uncertain. Indeed, two of them (a maxilla and a humerus from the Basse-Terre Gare Maritime site) were identified using characteristics that are not considered to be 100% reliable (Bochaton et 341 al., (2016b). They could represent misidentifications in view of the large number of studied 342 343 archaeological remains. The third bone presenting an *Iguana iguana* characteristic is a dentary from the Tourlourous site on Marie-Galante. It was identified based on a 100% reliable character 344 345 (character I from Bochaton et al., 2016b). However, this attribution is still dubious as the bone is 346 very isolated in an assemblage where 99.7% of the remains were unambiguously attributed to I. 347 delicatissima. Given this context, a single remain bearing an I. iguana character is clearly 348 insufficient to consider the occurrence of that species at the Tourlourous site. The most likely 349 explanation is that the diagnostic characteristic described for the dentary by Bochaton et al., 350 2016b is not 100% reliable. This would not be surprising considering the important skeletal morphological overlap between I. iguana and I. delicatissima described by previous authors and 351 the limited number of available comparative specimens. Consequently, I. delicatissima seems to 352 353 be the only Iguana species occurring on the Guadeloupe Islands during pre-Columbian times and 354 there is no clear evidence of the occurrence of I. iguana during that period. In addition, the extreme scarcity of I. iguana elements in our material shows that these I. delicatissima 355

populations bear no traces of past hybridization with *I. iguana*. These results differ from those obtained by Martin (2009) and Martin et al. (2010), who stated that both *I. iguana* and *I. delicatissima* occurred prehistorically on the Guadeloupe Islands. This contradiction is undoubtedly due to the unreliability of the identification characters used by these authors (Bochaton et al., 2016b).

Consequently, previous results should no longer be taken into account and we should currently 361 362 consider that there is no evidence of past occurrences of *I. iguana* on the Guadeloupe Islands prior to European contact. This conclusion can be related to the long-standing discussion 363 concerning the introduced or native status of I. iguana in the Lesser Antilles. The introduced 364 status of I. iguana was first suggested by Dunn (1934) and then Underwood (1962), but 365 366 subsequently refuted by Lazell (1973). Later, Lescure (1983) suggested an Amerindian role in the 367 dispersal of *I. iguana* in the Antilles and more recently Breuil (2013, 2002), Breuil et al. (2010) and Vuillaume et al. (2015) assumed that *I. iguana* was introduced to the Guadeloupe Islands and 368 St Maarten during the 19th and 20th centuries. Our results concur with the latter hypothesis and 369 should contribute to addressing this long-standing debate. 370

371 5.2 Size of past iguanas

Moreover, we investigated the past size of *I. delicatissima* in the Guadeloupe Islands for the first time. In order to achieve this, we observed two estimated size variables; the mean SVL and Minimal Theoretical Maximal Size (MTMS) of past iguanas from each island and found that these variables showed conflicting results. Indeed, although both variables showed inter-island differences, the mean SVL indicated that past iguanas were larger on Basse Terre and La Désirade than on Marie-Galante and Îlets de Petite Terre (Terre-de-Bas), and even smaller on

Grande-Terre (Fig.3). Conversely, the MTMS (between 460 and 475 mm SVL) indicated that 378 379 iguanas were of similar size on all the islands with the exception of Basse Terre, where they were larger (Fig.3). The advantage of the MTMS value is that it also takes account of the skeletal 380 maturity of past iguanas and not simply their size. Thus, it allows for the study of the body size of 381 382 archaeological populations of consumed animals, with often strongly biased size composition: we 383 clearly demonstrated a size selection by human hunters on the studied archaeological iguanas (see below). Thus we consider the mean body size differences observed on archaeological iguanas 384 from the different islands as a bias induced by differential sampling selection by past humans 385 rather than true biological differences. 386

Consequently, we assume that the past size of *I. delicatissima* was similar on Marie-Galante, Îlets 387 388 de Petite Terre (Terre-de-bas), La Désirade and Grande-Terre. However, larger iguanas occurred 389 on Basse Terre Island, as shown by the MTMS (superior to 550 mm). The larger sizes observed 390 on that island could be linked to indirect geographic and environmental effects. On account of its 391 volcanic nature, Basse Terre is more humid and presents more mountainous zones and habitat diversity than the limestone islands (Lasserre, 1961; Lescure, 1987). It is also noteworthy that the 392 biggest modern I. delicatissima ever measured on Guadeloupe Islands (410 mm SVL) originated 393 394 from that island (Breuil, 2002). However, smaller archaeological specimens (MTMS= 403 mm) were also recovered from Basse Terre. Size differences between these small and large iguanas 395 occurring on Basse Terre are far too high to be related to the same sexual dimorphism as in 396 modern *I. delicatissima*, which does not appear to be as marked according to the ONCFS data 397 collected on La Désirade and Petite Terre (a difference of 20 mm in SVL between the largest 398 399 measured female and male) and to data collected on Dominica (a difference of 42 mm in SVL 400 between the largest measured female and male) (Knapp et al., 2016), or to a size estimation error.

It is possible that sexual dimorphism may have been more marked in Basse Terre iguanas than in modern iguanas but genetic or isotopic data would be needed to confirm this hypothesis. Another alternative explanation is that these small iguanas could correspond either to an isolated local population subject to unfavorable biotic and/or abiotic conditions or exogenous iguanas translocated by pre-Columbians from another island.

406 5.3 Pre-Columbian population interactions with iguanas

The similarity in size of I. delicatissima indicated by the MTMS on most islands, including 407 408 Marie-Galante (Fig. 3), where they were probably introduced (Bochaton et al., 2015), could also 409 point to their introduction to other islands (see also Vuillaume et al., 2015), possibly by human populations, probably for feeding purposes. However, this hypothesis lacks theoretical grounds 410 considering that island size only has a slight effect on squamate body size (Meiri, 2007) and this 411 412 similarity in size could also be the consequence of convergent evolution. The occurrence of 413 Iguana delicatissima remains in most of the Guadeloupian pre-Columbian archaeological sites indicates that these large lizards were collected by human pre-Columbian populations for two 414 415 thousand years (from 500 BC to AD 1500), possibly using specific hunting strategies. Indeed, hunted iguanas were not randomly selected, as suggested by archaeological Iguana size 416 distribution, which does not reflect natural population size ranges. Most of the archaeological 417 assemblages exclusively include large iguanas (mainly between 300 and 400 mm SVL) and 418 419 young individuals (with SVL of less than 180 mm) are largely absent from archaeological 420 deposits (Fig. 3). Although archaeological collection methods (for instance, the use of sieves and 421 mesh size) could influence the observed size distribution, they cannot account for the lack of medium-sized individuals, as smaller taxa occur at all of the investigated sites. Thus, the 422 423 observed distribution is likely to reflect human selection of the largest animals, which provide the

most meat, and points to the difficulties involved in catching young iguanas or to the lack of 424 425 interest they present in terms of meat intake. However, this size selection seems to differ between islands. Indeed, the difference between the MTMS of iguanas from each island and the average 426 size observed in the archaeological sites of the same island differs among islands. These 427 428 differences can be interpreted in terms of selection intensity: lower differences between the mean size and the MTMS point to the more marked selection of large specimens. This difference is 429 especially low on La Désirade and Îlets de Petite Terre (102-132 mm), where selection seems to 430 be strongest. However, it is higher in Marie-Galante and Grande-Terre (151-165 mm), and even 431 higher in Basse Terre (192 mm), where selection appears to be low. The strong selection of large 432 specimens on La Désirade and Îlets de Petite Terre could reflect the high density of Iguana 433 populations, and thus the wide choice available to hunters, whereas conversely, population 434 density would have been lower on Marie-Galante and Grande-Terre. The results from Basse 435 Terre, indicating populations of different body-size, were difficult to assess. In the light of these 436 data it is interesting to observe that Îlets de Petite Terre and La Désirade are the only islands in 437 Guadeloupe still inhabited by large populations of *I. delicatissima* (Breuil, 2002). This could 438 perhaps be explained by their isolation and high population density, preserving them from 439 extirpation. 440

This clear size selection applied to archaeological specimens reflects the hunter's capacity to select hunted specimens and thus indicates the use of specific hunting techniques. No evidence of injuries was observed on these bones, but historical sources from European authors describing mid-17th century Amerindians provide useful information about how pre-Columbian iguanas may have been hunted. These authors indicated that iguanas were caught by Carib Indians using a simple noose mounted on a perch that was discreetly passed around the iguanas' necks

(Rochefort, 1658; Du Tertre, 1667; Maurile de Saint Michel (ca 1615-1669) in Grunberg, 2013). 447 The same technique was still used by Guadeloupe and La Martinique inhabitants long after the 448 disappearance of pre-Columbian hunters (Labat, 1724; Pinchon, 1971). After being caught, the 449 authors also explained that the animals were carried to the site and kept alive, sometimes for 450 451 several weeks (Du Tertre, 1667; Grunberg, 2013b; Rochefort, 1658), as suggested by the 452 occurrence of all the anatomical pieces in the bone remains from the sites (Fig. 4). But iguanas were also cooked, as shown by the rare traces of fire and cut marks observed on archaeological 453 remains, which can also be correlated to historical literature sources. Indeed, the anonymous 454 author from Carpentras who described 17th century Amerindians, explained that after being 455 brought back to the village, the iguanas were burned to kill them and remove their skin before 456 being dismembered (Anonyme de Carpentras (1618-1620) in Grunberg, 2013b). We observed 457 rare fire marks on Iguana bones on the thinnest anatomical parts most exposed to fire (skull and 458 459 extremities including sectioned tails). These marks could reflect the burning practices described by the anonymous Carpentras author (Anonyme de Carpentras (1618-1620) in Grunberg, 2013b). 460 The rarity of such marks could also correspond to the practice of boiling described by the same 461 462 author (Anonyme de Carpentras (1618-1620) in Grunberg, 2013b), or to drying or smoking the carcass, as often practiced by Amerindian populations. However, there is no mention of removing 463 464 the tail; a practice clearly established on archaeological iguanas and observed by one of us (S. G.), along with the removal of the skin and extremities of the carcass just before cooking. 465

The rare digestion traces observed on archaeological remains are intense, easily visible, and only occur on small specimens, highlighting the particularity of these small remains, which clearly differ from the main size distribution. These remains could reflect the occasional human consumption of young iguanas but such small animals may also have been eaten by non-human 470 predators. Thus, for the digested remains found at the open-air archaeological sites in Anse à la 471 Gourde, one of the most plausible hypotheses would be that these small iguanas were hunted by 472 domestic dogs, since dogs occur in archaeological sites and were part of the daily life of pre-473 Columbian populations in the Guadeloupe Islands (Grouard, 2001; Grouard et al., 2013). 474 Alternatively, some of the remains collected in cave deposits (Anse à l'Ecu, Morne-Rita and 475 Cadet 3) may reflect predation by birds of prey.

476 5.4 Size evolution of *Iguana delicatissima* over time

477 Several hundreds of years of pre-Columbian hunting do not seem to have impacted I. 478 delicatissima populations, in contrast to the activities of modern human populations. Indeed, according to archaeological evidence, the size of iguanas seems to remain stable throughout the 479 pre-Columbian period. Conversely, comparisons with modern data collected on Îlets de Petite 480 481 Terre (Terre-de-Haut and Terre-de-Bas) and La Désirade, the only two islands in Guadeloupe still densely inhabited by I. delicatissima, show a possible significant decrease in size between 482 pre-Columbian and modern times, estimated at about 20% of I. delicatissima SVL size. In 483 484 addition, no present-day I. delicatissima equivalent in size to the largest archaeological iguanas 485 has ever been measured, since the highest SVL ever recorded on a *I. delicatissima* specimen is only 430 mm SVL (from Dominica; Day et al., 2000), as opposed to a maximum of 550 mm SVL 486 for the largest archaeological specimen. As a precaution we should nevertheless note that it is 487 488 difficult to assess the reliability of extreme archaeological SVL values, especially for the largest archaeological specimens with a SVL of more than 500 mm. This is because such sizes exceed 489 490 the size of the modern specimens used by Bochaton (2016) to construct SVL estimation equations. However, such very high sizes are close to the size of the iguanas described by Du 491

492 Tertre in Guadeloupe during the 17th century, when a total length of 160 cm corresponds to an
493 SVL of around 53 cm (Du Tertre, 1667).

494 However, although no equivalent sized I. delicatissima has ever been measured or collected, this 495 does not mean that it never existed. Indeed, Lazell (1973) mentioned that the small size of I. delicatissima in museum specimens could be due to sampling bias. He also claimed that he saw 496 497 large specimens in Les Saintes and Dominica, with an SVL of around 400-450 mm, an 498 observation confirmed on Dominica where the largest modern iguanas attain a maximal SVL size of 428 mm (Knapp et al., 2016). Still, even if the accuracy of estimated past iguana size is 499 difficult to assess, a significant decrease in the size of this lizard on the Guadeloupe Islands over 500 501 the past few centuries seems likely. As a consequence, the decrease in squamate size during the 502 Holocene previously highlighted by Pregill (1986) in other West-Indian squamates also seems to 503 apply to *I. delicatissima* from the Guadeloupe Islands. This constitutes further evidence of the strong impact of human populations after the European colonization of these islands, where I. 504 505 delicatissima populations were well established and healthy, as suggested by their large size, despite extensive exploitation by pre-Columbian hunters. These results differ from those obtained 506 by Carlson and Keegan (2004) on Grand Turk Island (Greater Antilles), where pre-Columbian 507 508 hunting seemed to result in a size diminution in rock iguanas, probably on account of overexploitation on a relatively small island (only 18 km²). But this phenomenon concerns pre-509 ceramic populations relying largely on terrestrial fauna for food sources (40% of the MNI of the 510 Grand Turk Island site corresponds to iguanas). Conversely, the archaeological sites concerned 511 512 by our study concern more recent ceramic cultures that rely mainly on marine resources and 513 squamate remains represent a very minor part of the osteological assemblages (below 6% and 514 often less than 1% of the vertebrate remains collected in each site [de Waal, 2006; Grouard, 2010, 2007, 2001; Bochaton, work in progress]). Up until now, few data were available concerning the
pre-ceramic cultures and their subsistence strategies on the Guadeloupe Islands, and iguanas from
these periods, as well as from pre-anthropic periods, remain unknown to us.

518 6. Conclusion

519 Our data clearly show that populations of *Iguana delicatissima*, were formerly present on all of 520 the Guadeloupe Islands during pre-Columbian times and that at the same period, the invasive species, *I. iguana* was absent, confirming that it was introduced to this archipelago during the 521 522 past few centuries. Iguanas were the object of selection focusing on large specimens by pre-523 Columbian hunters, as attested by the size reconstruction of archaeological specimens. The meat from these lizards was cooked and consumed by Amerindians, as described in historical sources 524 recounting the daily life of 17th century Caribbean Amerindians. Hunting by pre-Columbian 525 526 hunters had no visible effect on past iguana populations. However, comparisons with modern data demonstrate that I. delicatissima is now extinct on most of the Guadeloupe Islands and is 527 528 20% smaller on the islands where it still occurs. This fact highlights the massive impact of 529 European and historical populations on these large lizards, which mostly became extinct or underwent a drastic decrease in body size over the past three centuries. Such phenomena 530 impacting iguanas were also observed using archaeological or subfossil data for several other 531 taxa in the Caribbean (MacPhee, 2009; Steadman et al., 2015; Stoetzel et al., 2016) and in other 532 533 geographic areas (Grayson, 2001; Nakamura et al., 2013; Steadman, 1995). They provide further evidence of the strong impact of modern societies on fauna in the context of the current 534 535 biodiversity crisis (Barnosky et al., 2011; Burney and Flannery, 2005; Ceballos et al., 2015).

536 Similar studies to ours would allow for the observation of past hitherto hidden or inaccurately 537 recorded phenomena, such as the timing of colonization, spatio-temporal morphological changes throughout time or the natural or human-mediated introduction of exogenous species. They 538 539 would also lead to the testing of several hypotheses based on modern data. However, with regard 540 to archaeological lizards and snakes, such studies are extremely rare (de Buffrénil and Hemery, 2007; Klemens and Thorbjarnarson, 1995; Monchot et al., 2014) and much work remains to be 541 done in order to elucidate recent squamate history and interactions of these animals with past 542 543 human populations all over the world.

544

545 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Le Gaïac NGO (F. Guiougou and B. Angin) and the French Office National de 546 547 la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage [ONCFS] (J.-P. Poly, B. Guillemot, E. Curot-Lodeon, and C. 548 Rodrigues for providing modern data on I. delicatissima SVL). The collection of ONCFS data was funded by Le Fonds Européen de DÉveloppement Régional (FEDER) and the French 549 550 government. We also thank the Service Régional de l'Archéologie de Guadeloupe (D. Bonissent, 551 C. Stouvenot and E. Cousin) and the Edgar Clerc Museum (S. Guimaraes) for authorizing and 552 facilitating access to the archaeological collections and administrative documentation. We are 553 also grateful to M. Lemoine and to the UMR 7209 archaeobotanical platform for the SEM pictures. 554

555 We wish to thank all the excavation directors of the studied sites: D. Bonnissent, M. Boudadi-556 Maligne, F. Casagrande, R. Chenorkian, E. Clerc, C. Colas, A. Delpuech, P. Courtaud, C. Etrich,

- 557 P. Fouéré, J. Gagnepain, E. Gassies, C. L. Hofman, M. l. P. Hoogland, A. Lenoble, T. Romon, N.
- 558 Sellier-Segard, N. Serrand, C. Stouvenot, M. Van den Bell and M. de Waal.
- 559 Finally, we wish to thank Scott Fitzpatrick and an anonymous reviewer for helping us to improve
- the quality of this paper.
- 561 References
- Andreieff, P., Bouysse, P., Westercamp, D., 1979. Reconnaissance géologique de l'arc insulaire des
 Petites Antilles. Résultats d'une campagne à la mer de prélèvements de roches entre Sainte Lucie et Anguilla (Arcante 1). Bull. BRGM 2, 227–270.
- 565 Andrews, P., 1990. Owls, caves and fossils, The University of Chicago Press. ed. Chicago.
- Bailon, S., Bochaton, C., Lenoble, A., 2015. New data on Pleistocene and Holocene herpetofauna of Marie
 Galante (Blanchard Cave, Guadeloupe Islands, French West Indies): Insular faunal turnover and
 human impact. Quat. Sci. Rev. 128, 127–137. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.09.023
- Barnosky, A.D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G.O.U., Swartz, B., Quental, T.B., Marshall, C., McGuire,
 J.L., Lindsey, E.L., Maguire, K.C., Mersey, B., Ferrer, E.A., 2011. Has the Earth's sixth mass
 extinction already arrived? Nature 471, 51–57.
- 572 Bérard, B., 2013. The Saladoid, in: Keegan, W.F., Hofman, C.L., Ramos, R.R. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook
 573 of Caribbean Archaeology. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 184–197.
- Bochaton, C., 2016. Describing archaeological *Iguana* Laurenti, 1768 (Squamata: Iguanidae) populations:
 size and skeletal maturity. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 26, 716–724. doi:10.1002/oa.2463
- Bochaton, C., Boistel, R., Cassagrande, F., Grouard, S., Bailon, S., 2016a. A fossil *Diploglossus* (Squamata,
 Anguidae) lizard from Basse-Terre and Grande-Terre islands (Guadeloupe, french West-Indies).
 Sci. Rep. 28475, 1–12.
- Bochaton, C., Grouard, S., Breuil, M., Ineich, I., Tresset, A., Bailon, S., 2016b. Osteological differentiation
 of the *Iguana* Laurenti, 1768 (Squamata: Iguanidae) Species: *Iguana iguana* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Iguana delicatissima* Laurenti, 1768, with some Comments on their Hybrids. J. Herpetol. 50, 295–
 305. doi:10.1670/14-170
- Bochaton, C., Grouard, S., Cornette, R., Ineich, I., Tresset, A., Bailon, S., 2015. Fossil and subfossil
 herpetofauna from Cadet 2 Cave (Marie-Galante, Guadeloupe Islands, F. W. I.): Evolution of an
 insular herpetofauna since the Late Pleistocene. Comptes Rendus Palévol 14, 101–110.
- Bonnissent, D., 2008. Archéologie précolombienne de l'île de Saint-Martin, Petites Antilles (3300 BP 1600 AD) (thèse de doctorat). Université Aix-Marseille, Aix-Marseille.
- Boudadi-Maligne, M., Bailon, S., Bochaton, C., Cassagrande, F., Grouard, S., Serrand, N., Lenoble, A.,
 2016. Evidence for historical human-induced extinctions of vertebrate species on La Désirade
 (French West Indies). Quat. Res. 85, 54–65.
- Bouysse, P., Guennoc, P., 1982. Données sur la structure de l'arc insulaire des Petites Antilles, entre
 Sainte Lucie et Anguilla. BRGM, Orléans, France.
- Breuil, M., 2013. Caractérisation morphologique de l'iguane commun *Iguana iguana* (Linnaeus, 1758), de
 l'iguane des Petites Antilles *Iguana delicatissima* Laurenti, 1768 et de leurs hybrides. Bull. Société
 Herpétologique Fr. 147, 309–346.

- Breuil, M., 2002. Histoire naturelle des Amphibiens et Reptiles terrestres de l'archipel Guadeloupéen:
 Guadeloupe, Saint-Martin, Saint-Bathélemy, Publications Scientifiques du Muséum National
 d'Histoire Naturelle. ed, Patrimoines Naturels. France.
- 599 Breuil, M., Day, M., Knapp, C., 2010. *Iguana delicatissima*. IUCN Red List Threat. Species Version 20143.
- 600 Breuil, M., Guiougou, F., Questel, K., Ibéné, B., 2011. *Iguana iguana*, in: Les Vertébrés Terrestres
- 601 Introduits En Outre-Mer et Leurs Impacts., Guide Illustré Des Principales Espèces Envahissantes.
 602 France, pp. 82–83.
- 603Burney, D.A., Flannery, T.F., 2005. Fifty millennia of catastrophic extinctions after human contact. Trends604Ecol. Evol. 20, 395–401. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.04.022
- Carlson, L.A., Keegan, W.F., 2004. Resource depletion in the prehistoric northern West Indies, in:
 Fitzpatrick, S.M. (Ed.), Voyages of Discovery: The Archaeology of Islands. Westport, Connecticut,
 U.S.A., pp. 85–107.
- 608 Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Barnosky, A.D., García, A., Pringle, R.M., Palmer, T.M., 2015. Accelerated
 609 modern human–induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Sci. Adv. 1,
 610 e1400253. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1400253
- 611 Clerc, E., 1964. Le peuplement précolombien des Antilles et ses vestiges en Guadeloupe. Bull. Société
 612 Hist. Guadeloupe 2, 18–32.
- 613 Coutureau, M., 2004. Planche d'ostéologie lézard. ArchéoZooThèque Banq. Images Ressour. En
 614 Archéozoologie.
- Day, M., Breuil, M., Reichling, S., 2000. Lesser Antillean iguana: *Iguana delicatissima*, in: Alberts, A. (Ed.),
 West Indian Iguanas : Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, pp.
 62–67.
- de Buffrénil, V., Hemery, G., 2007. Harvest of the Nile Monitor, *Varanus niloticus*, in Sahelian Africa. Part
 1: Impact of intensive harvest on local stocks. Mertensiella 16, 181–194.
- de Waal, M.S., 2006. Pre-Columbian social organisation and interaction interpreted through the study of
 settlement patterns: An archaeological case-study of the pointe des Châteaux, La Désirade and
 Les Îles de la Petite-Terre micro-region, Guadeloupe, F.W.I. (PHD thesis). Leiden University,
 Leiden.
- Dodson, P., Wexlar, D., 1979. Taphonomic Investigations of Owl Pellets. Paleobiology 5, 275–284.
- 625 Du Tertre, J.-B., 1667. Histoire générale des Antilles habitées par les François. T. Jolly, Paris, France.
- 626 Dunn, E.R., 1934. Notes on *Iguana*. Copeia 1934, 1–4.
- Falcón, W., Ackerman, J.D., Recart, W., Daehler, C.C., 2013. Biology and Impacts of Pacific Island Invasive
 Species. 10. *Iguana iguana*, the Green Iguana (Squamata: Iguanidae). Pac. Sci. 67, 157–186.
 doi:10.2984/67.2.2
- Fitzpatrick, S.M., 2015. The pre-columbian Caribbean: colonization, population dispersal, and island
 adaptations. PaleoAmerica 1, 305–331. doi:10.1179/2055557115Y.0000000010
- Giovas, C.M., 2016. Though She Be But Little: Resource Resilience, Amerindian Foraging, and Long-Term
 Adaptive Strategies in the Grenadines, West Indies. J. Isl. Coast. Archaeol. 0, 1–26.
 doi:10.1080/15564894.2016.1193572
- Grayson, D.K., 2001. The Archaeological Record of Human Impacts on Animal Populations. J. World
 Prehistory 15, 1–68. doi:10.1023/A:1011165119141
- Grouard, S., 2010. Caribbean Archaeozoology, in: Mengoni Goñalons, G., Arroyo-Cabrales, J., Polaco, Ó.J.,
 Aguilar, F.J. (Eds.), Estado Actual de La Arqueozoología Latinoamericana / Current Advances in
 Latin-American Archaeozoology. International Council for Archaeozoology : Universidad de
 Buenos Aires, México, pp. 89–109.
- Grouard, S., 2007. Modes de vie des Précolombiens des Antilles françaises, in: Rostain, S., Vidal, N. (Eds.),
 Archéologie Des Départements Français d'Amérique, Les Nouvelles de l'Archéologie. pp. 91–101.

- 643 Grouard, S., 2001. Subsistance, systèmes techniques et gestion territoriale en milieu insulaire antillais
 644 précolombien Exploitation des Vertébrés et des Crustacés aux époques Saladoïdes et
 645 Troumassoïdes de Guadeloupe (400 av. J.-C. à 1500 ap. J.-C.) (PHD thesis). Université Paris X,
 646 Paris.
- 647 Grouard, S., Perdikaris, S., Debue, K., 2013. Dog burials associated with Human burials in the West Indies 648 during the early pre-Columbian Ceramic Age (500 BC-600 AD). Anthropozoologica 48, 447–465.
- 649 Grunberg, B. (Ed.), 2013a. Missionnaires carmes et capucins : Pacifique de Provins et Maurile de St
 650 Michel. Edition critique. L'Harmattan, Paris.
- Grunberg, B. (Ed.), 2013b. Textes anonymes. Anonyme de Carpentras, Anonyme de Grenade, Anonyme
 de St Christophe, Anonyme de St Vincent, Anonyme dit "Gentilhomme écossais". Edition
 critique. L'Harmattan, Paris.
- Hedges, S.B., Marin, J., Suleski, M., Paymer, M., Kumar, S., 2015. Tree of life reveals clock-like speciation
 and diversification. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 835–845.
- Henderson, R., Powell, R., 2009. Natural History of West Indian Reptiles and Amphibians. University Press
 of Florida, Gainesville.
- Henderson, R.W., Breuil, M., 2012. Island list of West Indian amphibians and reptiles. Bull. Fla. Mus. Nat.
 Hist. 51, 148–166.
- Hofman, C.L., Hoogland, M.L.P., Delpuech, A., 1999. New perspectives on a Huecan Saladoïd assemblage
 on Guadeloupe: the case of Morel I, in: Hofman, C.L., Hoogland, M.L.P. (Eds.), Archaeological
 Investigations on St. Martin (Lesser Antilles). The Sites of Norman Estate, Anse Des Pères and
 Hope Estate, with a Contribution to the "la Hueca Problem." Leiden University, Leiden, pp. 303–
 312.
- Honoré, S., 2014. Evaluation du potentiel archéologique du site de l'Anse du Coq, Marie-Galante,
 Guadeloupe, in: Actes Du XXIVe Congr. de l'Assoc. Intern. Archéol. Caraïb. Martinique, pp. 240–
 248.
- Jacques, D., Maury, R.C., 1988. L'archipel des Saintes (Guadeloupe, Petites Antilles): Géologie et
 pétrologie. Géologie Fr. 2–3, 89–99.
- Keegan, W.F., Fitzpatrick, S.M., Sealey, K.S., LeFebvre, M.J., Sinelli, P.T., 2008. The Role of Small Islands in
 Marine Subsistence Strategies: Case Studies from the Caribbean. Hum. Ecol. 36, 635–654.
 doi:10.1007/s10745-008-9188-z
- Keegan, W.F., Hofman, C.L., Ramos, R.R., 2013. The Oxford Handbook of Caribbean Archaeology. Oxford
 University Press, Oxford.
- 675 Klemens, M.W., Thorbjarnarson, J.B., 1995. Reptiles as a food resource. Biodivers. Conserv. 4, 281–298.
- Knapp, C., Breuil, M., Rodrigues, C., Iverson, J., 2014. Lesser antillean *Iguana, Iguana delicatissima*:
 conservation action plan, 2014-2016. IUCN SSC Iguana Specialist Group, Gland, Switzerland.
- Knapp, C.R., Prince, L., James, A., 2016. Movements and nesting of the lesser antillean iguana (>i>lguana
 delicatissima</i>) from Dominica, West Indies: implication for conservation. Herpetol. Conserv.
 Biol. 11, 154–167.
- Krauss, U., Isidore, L., Mitchel, N., Seely, L., Alfred, P., Ramessar, A., Johnny, A., Joseph, B., James, M.,
 Breuil, M., Vuillaume, B., Morton, M., John, L., Michael Bobb, 2014. An assessment of control
 methods for invasive alien iguanas in Saint Lucia. Presented at the Workshop on policies,
 strategies and best practices for managing invasive alien species (IAS) in the insular Caribbean,
 Port of Spain, Trinidad, pp. 1–27.
- Labat, J.-B., 1724. Nouveau voyage aux isles de l'Amerique T. 1. La Haye, P. Lusson et al., Paris, France.
- Lasserre, G., 1961. La Guadeloupe: étude géographique Tome 1: Le milieu naturel et l'héritage du passé.
 Union française d'impression, Bordeaux, France.
- Lazell, J.D., 1973. The lizard genus *Iguana* in the Lesser Antilles. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 145, 1–28.

- Lescure, J., 1987. Le peuplement en reptiles et amphibiens des Petites-Antilles. Bull. Société Zool. Fr. 112,
 327–342.
- Lescure, J., 1983. Introductions passives et actives de reptiles et d'amphibiens dans les Antilles et les
 Guyanes. C. r. Séances Société Biogéographie 59, 59–70.
- MacPhee, R.D.E., 2009. Insulae infortunatae: Establishing a Chronology for Late Quaternary Mammal
 Extinctions in the West Indies, in: Haynes, G. (Ed.), American Megafaunal Extinctions at the End
 of the Pleistocene, Vertebrate Paleobiology and Paleoanthropology. Springer Netherlands, pp.
 169–193.
- Maisano, J.A., 2002. Terminal fusions of skeletal elements as indicators of maturity in squamates. J.
 Vertebr. Paleontol. 22, 268–275.
- Malone, C.L., Davis, S.K., 2004. Genetic contributions to caribbean *Iguana* conservation, in: Alberts, A.,
 Carter, R.L., Hayes, W.K., Martins, E.P. (Eds.), Iguanas: Biology and Conservation. University of
 California Press, Los Angeles, pp. 45–57.
- Martin, J.L., Knapp, C., Gerber, G.P., Thorpe, R.S., Welch, M.E., 2015. Phylogeography of the endangered
 lesser antillean iguana, *Iguana delicatissima*: a recent diaspora in an archipelago known for
 ancient herpetological endemism. J. Hered. 106, 315–321. doi:10.1093/jhered/esv004
- Martin, M.A., 2009. Les Amérindiens Précolombiens des Antilles et la consommation de Reptiles: Le cas
 des Iguaninae (Anatomie comparée et archéozoologie) (Master thesis). Muséum National
 d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
- Martin, M.A., Grouard, S., Bailon, S., 2010. The Iguanas of the pre-Columbian Caribbean islands: human
 subsistence and paleoecology, in: Abstract Book. Presented at the 11th International Conference
 of Archaeozoology, Paris, p. 183.
- Meiri, S., 2007. Size evolution in island lizards. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 16, 702–708. doi:10.1111/j.1466 8238.2007.00327.x
- Monchot, H., Bailon, S., Schiettecatte, J., 2014. Archaeozoological evidence for traditional consumption
 of spiny-tailed lizard (*Uromastyx aegyptia*) in Saudi Arabia. J. Archaeol. Sci. 45, 96–102.
- Morton, M.N., 2009. Management of critical species on Saint Lucia (No. 13), Technical Report to the
 National Forest Demarcation and Bio-Physical Resource Inventory Project. FCG International Ltd,
 Finland.
- Münch, P., Lebrun, J.-F., Cornée, J.-J., Thinon, I., Guennoc, P., Marcaillou, B., Begot, J., Bertrand, G., Berc,
 S.B.D., Biscarrat, K., 2013. Pliocene to Pleistocene carbonate systems of the Guadeloupe
 archipelago, French Lesser Antilles: a land and sea study (the KaShallow project). Bull. Société
 Géologique Fr. 184, 99–110.
- Munro, L.E., Longstaffe, F.J., White, C.D., 2008. Effects of heating on the carbon and oxygen-isotope
 compositions of structural carbonate in bioapatite from modern deer bone. Palaeogeogr.
 Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 266, 142–150.
- Nakamura, Y., Takahashi, A., Hidetoshi, O., 2013. Recent cryptic extinction of squamate reptiles on
 Yoronjima Island of the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan, inferred from garbage dump remains. Acta
 Herpetol. 8, 19–34.
- Pasachnick, S.A., De Léon, R.C., Reysono, V.H., Rupp, E., Léon, Y.M., Inchaústegui, S.J., 2012. Green
 Iguanas (*Iguana iguana*) in the Dominican Republic. IRCF Reptil. Amphib. 19, 132–134.
- Paulet-Locard, M.-A., Stouvenot, C., 2005. Guadeloupe: Bilan et orientation de la recherche
 archéologique. Bilan Sci. Régional 2005, 5–7.
- 733 Pinchon, R., 1971. D'autres aspects de la nature aux Antilles. Fort-de-France, Martinique.
- 734 Pregill, G.K., 1986. Body size of insular lizards: a pattern of Holocene dwarfism. Evolution 40, 997–1008.
- 735 Rochefort, C.D., 1658. Histoire naturelle et morale des Antilles de l'Amérique. Histoire générale des
- 736 Antilles habitées par les Français, Arnould Liers. ed. Rotterdam.

- Romon, T., Bertran, P., Fouéré, P., Hildebrand, M., Serrand, N., Vallet, C., 2006. Fouille préventive de la
 Gare Maritime de Basse-Terre (Rapport final d'opération No. 2005–33). INRAP, Service régional
 de l'archéologie de Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe.
- Samper, A., Quidelleur, X., Lahitte, P., Mollex, D., 2007. Timing of effusive volcanism and collapse events
 within an oceanic arc island: Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe archipelago (Lesser Antilles Arc). Earth
 Planet. Sci. Lett. 258, 175–191.
- Sastre, C., Breuil, A., 2007. Plantes, milieux et paysages des Antilles françaises: écologie, biologie,
 identification, protection et usages. Biotope éditions, Mèze, France.
- Saunders, N.J., 2005. The peoples of the Caribbean: an encyclopedia of archaeology and traditional
 culture. ABC CLIO, Denver, USA.
- 747 Schardt, M., 1998. *Iguana delicatissima* in Freiland. Reptilia 10, 28–32.
- Shipman, 1981, 1981. Life History of a Fossil and Introduction To Taphonomy and Paleoecology, Harvard
 University Press. ed. Cambridge.
- Siegel, P.E., Jones, J.G., Pearsall, D.M., Dunning, N.P., Farrell, P., Duncan, N.A., Curtis, J.H., Singh, S.K.,
 2015. Paleoenvironmental evidence for first human colonization of the eastern Caribbean. Quat.
 Sci. Rev. 129, 275–295. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.10.014
- Steadman, D.W., 1995. Prehistoric Extinctions of Pacific Island Birds: Biodiversity Meets Zooarchaeology.
 Science 267, 1123–1131. doi:10.1126/science.267.5201.1123
- Steadman, D.W., Albury, N.A., Kakuk, B., Mead, J.I., Soto-Centeno, J.A., Singleton, H.M., Franklin, J., 2015.
 Vertebrate community on an ice-age Caribbean island. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112, E5963–E5971.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1516490112
- Stephen, C.L., Reynoso, V.H., Collett, W.S., Hasbun, C.R., Breinholt, J.W., 2013. Geographical structure
 and cryptic lineages within common green iguanas, *Iguana iguana*. J. Biogeogr. 40, 50–62.
- Stiner, M.C., Kuhn, S.C., 1995. Differential burning, recrystallization, and fragmentation of archaeological
 bone. J. Archaeol. Sci. 22, 223–237.
- Stoetzel, E., Denys, C., Bailon, S., El Hajraoui, M.A., Nespoulet, R., 2012. Taphonomic Analysis of
 Amphibian and Squamate Remains from El Harhoura 2 (Rabat-Témara, Morocco): Contributions
 to Palaeoecological and Archaeological Interpretations. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 22, 616–635.
- Stoetzel, E., Royer, A., Cochard, D., Lenoble, A., 2016. Late Quaternary changes in bat palaeobiodiversity
 and palaeobiogeography under climatic and anthropogenic pressure: new insights from Marie Galante, Lesser Antilles. Quat. Sci. Rev. 143, 150–174. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.05.013
- Stouvenot, C., Grouard, S., Bailon, S., Bonnissent, D., Lenoble, A., Serrand, N., Sierpe, V., 2014. L'abri sous
 roche Cadet 3 (Marie-Galante): un gisement à accumulations de faune et à vestiges
 archéologiques, in: Un Demi-Siècle D'archéologie Caribéenne. Presented at the XXIVe Congr. de
- 771 l'Assoc. Intern. Archéolo. Caraïbe, Martinique, pp. 126–140.
- Underwood, G., 1962. Reptiles of the eastern Carribean. Carribean Aff. N S 1, 1–192.
- Vuillaume, B., Valette, V., Lepais, O., Grandjean, F., Breuil, M., 2015. Genetic evidence of hybridization
 between the endangered native species *Iguana delicatissima* and the invasive *Iguana iguana*(Reptilia, Iguanidae) in the Lesser Antilles: management implications. PLoS ONE 10, 1–20.
- 776 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127575
- Wing, E.S., 2001. Native American use of animals in the Caribbean, in: Wood, C.A., Sergile, F.E. (Eds.),
 Biogeography of the West Indies: Patterns and Perspectives. Boca Raton, pp. 481–518.

			Iguana	Iguana	Iguana	NR	
Island	Site	Date	delicatissim	iguana	sp.	tot.	MNI
	24, rue Schoelcher	Early Saladoid	2	0	2	4	1
	Cathédrale de Basse- Terre	Early Saladoid	31	0	122	153	6
l'erre	Embouchure de la Rivière Baillif	Late Saladoid	0	0	1	1	1
Se-J	Gare Maritime	Early Saladoid	143	2	361	506	14
Bas	Grande-Anse Trois <u>Rivières</u>	Late Troumassoid	0	0	1	1	1
	Place Saint-François	Early Saladoid	0	0	1	1	1
	Sainte-Rose La Ramée	Early Troumassoid	19	0	166	185	4
	Total island		195	2	654	851	28
	Anse à la Gourde	Late Saladoid-					
		Late Troumassoid	36	0	388	424	8
rre	Anse à l'Eau Crotte de l'Ange à	Late Saladoid	6	0	18	24	3
le-Te	l'Ecu	Modern	0	0	1	1	1
Grano	Grotte de l'Anse a la Gourde	Modern	1	0	4	5	1
	Morel	Early Saladoid – Troumassoid	5	0	49	54	4
	Total island		48	0	460	508	17
de	A l'Escalier	Troumassoid	2	0	19	21	1
)ésira	Petite Rivière	Late Saladoid –Early	10	0	132	142	7
a D	Pointe Gros Rempart 6	Modern	0	0	2	2	1
Γ	Total island		12	0	153	165	9
e	Baleine Sud	Troumassoid	2	0	10	12	1
err	Caille à Bélasse	Late Troumassoid	77	0	201	278	4
te-1	Mouton de Bas	Troumassoid	5	0	20	25	1
Peti	Site du phare	Troumassoid	0	0	4	4	1
	Total isla	and	84	0	235	319	7
	Grotte Blanchard	Late Troumassoid	3	0	4	7	1
te	Abri Cadet 3	Archaic, Late Troumassoid	1	0	0	1	1
Galan	Folle Anse	Early Saladoid- Troumassoid	1	0	4	5	1
rie-	Grotte du Morne Rita	Archaic-Saladoid		0		2	1
Maı	Tourlourous Stade	Late Saladoid	0	0	2	2	
F -1	Touriourous - Sidde	Farly and Late	63	1	312	376	14
	Total island		68	1	372	391	18
es ites	Grande-Anse de Terre	Late Troumassoid			522		- 10
Lo Šair	ae Bas des Saintes		0	0	2	2	
	Total		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1826	2 2236	1 80

Table 1: Number of *Iguana* remains (NR) collected from each archaeological site along with their
attribution to *I. delicatissima*, *I. iguana* or *Iguana* sp. and Minimal Number of Individuals (MNI).
Cultural attribution of each deposit is also indicated: Archaic= 2000 - 500 BC, Saladoid = AD 80
- 900, Troumassoid = AD 900 - 1490, Modern=1493 – present.



Figure 1: Map of the Lesser Antilles and focus on the Guadeloupe Islands with isobaths (-200m) 787 from Münch et al. (2013) and location of the studied archaeological sites with their chronological 788 attributions (Saladoïd period (AD 80 - 900), Troumassoid period (AD 900 - 1500) or Modern 789 period (AD 1493 - present): 1: Grande-Anse Trois Rivières; 2: 24, rue Schoelcher; 3: Place 790 Saint-François; 4: Cathédrale de Basse-Terre; 5: Gare Maritime de Basse-Terre; 6: Embouchure 791 de la Rivière Baillif; 7: Sainte-Rose La Ramée; 8: Grotte de l'Anse à l'Ecu; 9: Morel; 10: Anse à 792 l'Eau; 11: Grotte de l'Anse à la Gourde; 12: Anse à la Gourde; 13: A l'Escalier; 14: Petite Rivière; 793 15: Pointe Gros Rempart 6; 16: Site du phare; 17: Baleine Sud; 18: Caille à Bélasse; 19: Mouton 794

de Bas; 20: Grotte du Morne Rita; 21: Tourlourous - Stade José Bade; 22: Grotte Blanchard; 23:
Abri Cadet 3; 24: Folle Anse; 25: Grande-Anse de Terre de Bas des Saintes.



Figure 2: Examples of *Iguana* bone remains from the Gare Maritime site (Basse Terre),
presenting the *I. delicatissima* characters described by Bochaton et al., (2016b): A) Parietal with
an inconspicuous pineal foramen (p. f.) and two clearly visible articular facets with the frontal (a.
f.) in ventral view (US1008); B) Frontal with weak interorbital constriction (i.c.), posterolaterally
oriented posterior processes (p. p.) and articular facet with the dorsally oriented parietal (a.f.p.)
(US1008); C) Humerus with foramen of the supracondylar fossa (sc. f.) largely open (US1008);
B) Surangular with pointed coronoid process (c. p.) (US1011).

805



Figure 3: Distribution of estimated SVL size (in millimeters) obtained on archaeological *Iguana*remains from A: Marie-Galante, B: Îlets de Petite Terre, C: La Désirade, D: Grande-Terre and E:
Basse Terre islands. The Minimal Theoretical Maximal Size (MTMS) is provided for each island
along with the correspondence between size and skeletal maturity stages defined by Bochaton
(2016).



Figure 4: Anatomical distribution of *Iguana* remains from the 25 sites with PR value (Dodson and Wexlar 1989) for each anatomical part along with the occurrence of fire traces (fire symbol) and cut marks (modern flint tool symbol) on the extremities of the skeleton (skeleton drawing modified from Coutureau, 2004).



Figure 5: A) Half-burnt (black) left jugal bone of *I. delicatissima* from Caille à Bélasse site
(Square O51c – dec11). B) Caudal vertebra of *I. delicatissima* in lateral view with white burning

- traces (white arrows) and cut marks (black arrows) showing the removal of the tail from Anse à
- 825 la Gourde site (year 1997- Z64 S93 C1 lvl 4).



Figure 6: SEM pictures of digested dentaries of young *I. delicatissima* from Anse à la Gourde site

